The opinion in support of the decision being entered today is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper

Filed: 5 May 2004

By:

Trial Section Merits Panel

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tel: Fax: 703-308-9797

703-305-0942

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

GENCELL S.A.

Junior Party U.S. Patent 6,127,175

WAILED

MAY 5 - 200

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

٧.

IMRE KOVESDI, DOUGLAS E. BROUGH, DUNCAN L. McVEY, JOSEPH T. BRUDER and ALENA LIZONOVA

Junior Party, Application 08/258,416

٧.

GENCELL S.A.

Senior Party Application 08/397,225

Patent Interference No. 104,829 (CAS)

Before: TORCZON, SPIEGEL and LANE, Administrative Patent Judges.

SPIEGEL, Administrative Patent Judge.

JUDGMENT -- RULE 640

Pursuant to the "Order to Show Cause" dated September 16, 2003 (Paper 80) and in view of the "Order Redeclaring Interference" dated September 16, 2003 (Paper 79), the "Communication Suspending Final Judgment Against Gencell/Vigne" dated October 7, 2003 (Paper 81) and the Rule 662 Judgment against party Kovesdi dated May 5, 2004 (Paper 92), it is

ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Counts 3 and 4 (Paper 79, pp. 2-4) is awarded against junior party EMMANUELLE VIGNE, MICHEL PERRICAUDET, JEAN-FRANÇOIS DEDIEU, CÉCILE ORSINI, PATRICE YEH, MARTINE LATTA and EDOUARD PROST (Gencell/Vigne).

FURTHER ORDERED that junior party EMMANUELLE VIGNE, MICHEL
PERRICAUDET, JEAN-FRANÇOIS DEDIEU, CÉCILE ORSINI, PATRICE YEH,
MARTINE LATTA and EDOUARD PROST (Gencell/Vigne) is not entitled to a patent
containing

- (i) claim 33 (corresponding to Count 3) and
- (ii) claims 1-6, 11-21 and 23-25 (corresponding to Count 4) of U.S. Patent 6,127,175, issued October 3, 2000, based on U.S. application 08/875,223, filed July 17, 1997. (Paper 79, pp. 2-4).

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this paper shall be made of record in the files of U.S. patent 6,127,175, U.S. application 08/258,416 and U.S. application 08/397,225.

¹ Vigne claims 7-10, 22 and 26-32 do <u>not</u> correspond to any of Counts 1 through 6 and, therefore, are not involved in the interference (Paper 79, p. 4).

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of the decision on motions filed February 12, 2003 (Paper 74) shall be made of record in the files of U.S. patent 6,127,175, U.S. application 08/258,416 and U.S. application 08,397,225.

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement which has not been filed, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and 37 CFR § 1.661.

RICHARD TOREZON

Administrative Patent Judge

CAROL A. SPIEGEL

Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

SALLY GARDNER LANE

Administrative Patent Judge

Interference No. 104,829 Vigne/Gencell v. Kovesdi v. Perricaudet/Gencell

104,829 (via fax and first class mail):

Kovesdi (real party in interest GENVEC, INC.):

John Kilyk, Jr., Esq.
Bruce M. Gagala, Esq.
Jeffrey B. Burgan, Esq.
Heather R. Kissling, Esq.
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 N. Stetson Ave.
Chicago, IL 60601

Tel:

312-616-5600

Fax:

312-616-5700

E-mail:

JKilyk@leydig.com

BGagala@leydig.com JBurgan@leydig.com HKissling@leydig.com

Gencell/Perricaudet/Vigne (real party in interest GENCELL, S.A.):

Rochelle K. Seide, Esq.
James J. Maune, Esq.
BAKER BOTTS LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza, 44th Floor
New York, NY 10112-4498
Tel: 212-408-2626

T & I.

212-400-2020

Fax:

212-408-2501